## **DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL**



02-278

Federal Communications Commission Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau Washington, D.C. 20554 CGB

SEP 9 2003

Control No. 0302445/aw

The Honorable Frank R. Wolf U.S House of Representatives 241 Cannon House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515-4610

E Company

SEP 2 9 2003

Dear Congressman Wolf-

Fedoral Organi in his hors if it is caron. Clifice of this Costo Lay

Thank you for your letter on behalf of your constituent, Ms. Shirley Maloney, regarding the Federal Communications Commission's (Commission) recent amendment to the rules implementing the Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991 (TCPA). Specifically, Ms. Maloney expresses concern that, "without the proper input from the business and association community," the Commission reversed its prior conclusion that an "established business relationship" constitutes the necessary express permission to send an unsolicited facsimile advertisement Ms. Maloney indicates that requiring such express permission to be in writing will place onerous burdens on associations that wish to fax their members.

On September 18, 2002, the Commission released a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) in CG Docket No. 02-278, seeking comment on whether it should change its rules that restrict telemarketing calls and unsolicited fax advertisements, and if so, how. The NPRM sought comment on the option to establish a national do-not-call list, and how such action might be taken in conjunction with the national do-not-call registry rules adopted by the Federal Trade Commission (FTC) and the numerous state do-not-call lists. In addition, the Commission sought comment on the effectiveness of the TCPA's unsolicited facsimile advertisement rules, including the Commission's determination that a prior business relationship between a fax sender and recipient establishes the requisite consent to receive advertisements via fax. The Commission received over 6,000 comments from individuals, businesses, and state governments on the TCPA rules.

The record in this proceeding, along with our own enforcement experience, demonstrated that changes in the current rules are warranted, if consumers and businesses are to continue to receive the privacy protections contemplated by the TCPA. As explained in the Commission's Report and Order released on July 3, 2003, the record indicated that many consumers and businesses receive faxes they believe they have neither solicited nor given their permission to receive. Consumers emphasized that the burden of receiving hundreds of unsolicited faxes was not just limited to the cost of paper and toner, but includes the time spent reading and disposing of faxes, the time the machine is printing an advertisement and is not operational for other purposes, and the intrusiveness of faxes transmitted at inconvenient times, including in the middle of the night.

No of C to a root! 2
List ABCDE

Ţ.

As we explained in the Report and Order, the legislative history of the TCPA indicates that one of Congress' primary concerns was to protect the public from bearing the costs of unwanted advertising. Therefore, Congress determined that companies that wish to fax unsolicited advertisements to customers must obtain their express permission to do so before transmitting any faxes to them. The amended rules require all entities that wish to transmit advertisements to a facsimile machine to obtain permission from the recipient in writing.

The Commission's amended facsimile advertising rules were initially scheduled to go into effect on August 25, 2003. However, based on additional comments received since the adoption of the July Report and Order, the Commission, on its own motion, determined to delay the effective date of some of the amended facsimile rules, including the elimination of the established business relationship exemption, until January 1, 2005. The comments filed after the release of the Report and Order indicate that many organizations may need additional time to secure this written permission from individuals and businesses to which they fax advertisements. Enclosed is a copy of the Commission's Order on Reconsideration, released on August 18, 2003.

We appreciate Ms. Maloney's comments. We have placed a copy of Ms. Maloney's correspondence in the public record for this proceeding. Please do not hesitate to contact us if you have further questions.

Sincerely,

K. Dane Snowder

Chief

Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau

**Enclosures** 

#### FRANK R. WOLF

10th DISTRICT VIRGINIA

### **COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS**

SUBCOMMITTEES
CHAIRMAN—COMMERCE JUSTICE
STATE AND JUDICIARY

HOMELAND SECURITY

TRANSPORTATION-TREASURY AND RELATED AGENCIES

CO-CHAIR -- CONGRESSIONAL HUMAN RIGHTS CAUCUS



241 CANNON HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING WASHINGTON, DC 20515-4610

(202) 225~5136

13873 PARK CENTER ROAD

Suite 130 Hennoon, VA 20171 (703) 709-5800

(800) 945-9653 (IN STATE)

110 NORTH CAMERON STREET WINCHESTER, VA 22601 (540) 667-0990

(800) 850-3463 (IN STATE)

www house gov/wolf/

Ms. Diane Atkinson Congressional Liaison Specialist Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW, Room 8-C453 Washington DC 20554

Dear Ms. Atkinson:

I recently received the enclosed e-mail from my constituent, Ms. Shirley Maloney, of Herndon, Virginia, sharing with me her concerns that the FCC is taking actions to amend the regulations that implement the Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991 to do away with the "established business relationship" provision pertaining to fax advertisements.

I would appreciate any comments that you may have on this matter for me to share with Ms. Maloney. I ask that you please fax your response to me at 202-225-0437, attention: Elizabeth Becker.

Thank you for your assistance in helping me serve my constituents.

Best wishes

FRW eb

Frank P. Wolf
Member of Congress

# Walton, Jeff

From:

writerep

Sent:

Tuesday, August 05, 2003 5.04 PM

To:

Wolf, Write

Subject:

WriteRep Responses

DATE. August 5, 2003 4 42 PM

NAME: Shirley Maloney

ADDR1: 2201 Cooperative Way

ADDR2:

ADDR3:

CITY: Herndon STATE: Virginia ZIP: 20171-4583

PHONE:

EMAIL: maloney@naiop.org

msa.

Shirley Maloney 2201 Cooperative Way Herndon, VA 20171-4583

August 5, 2003

The Honorable Frank R Wolf U.S. House of Representatives 241 Cannon House Office Building Washington, D C 20515-4610

### Representative Wolf:

I am writing to alert you to the recent actions taken by the FCC to amend

the regulations that implement the Telephone Consumer Protection Act of

1991 (TCPA). The FCC has decided, without the proper input from the business and association community, to modify the current law by doing away with the "established business relationship" provision pertaining to

fax advertisements. This amendment will place onerous administrative and

economic burdens on associations by requiring "expressed written consent"

from their own members prior to sending a fax advertisement. I hope you

share in my concern over this onerous restriction of legitimate commercial activity.

The new FCC reading of the TCPA prohibits any person or entity from sending any fax that contains an unsolicited advertisement which is defined as "any material advertising the commercial availability or quality of any property, good, or services which is transmitted to any person without that person's prior express invitation or permission "

As a result, the established business relationship is no longer sufficient to

permit faxes to be transmitted. Associations and businesses are now faced

with the challenging administrative, legal, economic and record keeping

ramifications that will arise thanks to the new FCC changes.

The proposed changes, which are scheduled to go into effect on August 25.

2003 - 30 days after they were published in the Federal Register on July

25, 2003, will create a significant economic and labor-intensive burden

for the association community. The adjustment in the TCPA will require signed written consent to allow faxes to be sent that contain unsolicited

advertisements. It would even require written consent for faxes pertaining to events such as annual meetings.

While these changes may be suitable for residential telephone numbers as

the new Do Not Call registry provides, they are certainly not acceptable

for association-to-member facsimile communications Associations rely on

faxes as a prime source of communication and marketing to meet the needs

of their members

With penalties reaching \$11,000 per unauthorized fax, this is a burden that few associations can financially endure. The proposed FCC changes

are a prime example of an idea where the disadvantages and unintended consequences far outweigh the benefits. Please join me in requesting that

the FCC halt their efforts to change the current TCPA.

Sincerely,

Shirley Maloney